# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,516	03/09/2004	Richard J. Petschauer	6817	1963
7590 04/16/2007 Charles A. Johnson 1448 90th Avenue Amery, WI 54001			EXAMINER BANKHEAD, GENE LOUIS	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	. DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

10/796,516	PETSCHAUER, RICHARD J.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Gene L. Bankhead	3744				
ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTHATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON to date of this communication, even if timely fill	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
	•				
anuary 2007	•				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 January 2007</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
parto quayro, 1000 G.B. 11,	100 0.0. 210.				
	·				
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 12</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-11 and 13-20</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03/09/04</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Tr) The bath of declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of form F10-102.					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
	Examiner  Gene L. Bankhead  Pears on the cover sheet with the sears on the cover sheet with the search of the cover sheet with the search of the cover, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON of date of this communication, even if timely fill anuary 2007.  Indicate the application to become ABANDON of the certified copies and the search of the sear				

Application/Control Number: 10/796,516

Art Unit: 3744

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed 1/16/2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-20 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Darey et al. (US 4248051).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,3 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Darey et al. (US 4258051) in view of (US Shah 6557771).

With regard to claims 1 and 3, Darey et al. teach a humidity control system 10 with an inside room humidity sensor 40B, an outside temperature sensor circuit 42A, an outside temperature humidity compensator circuit 44 responsively coupled to the outside temperature sensor circuit (column 6 lines 13-40) and an adjustment control circuit capable of controlling able to control gain and error detection by line 46 (column 6 lines 13-40). Darey et al. further teach the sensed outside temperature causes the outside temperature humidity compensator circuit to automatically adjust the in-room humidity to a preset level (column 6 lines 13-40). They fail to teach a humidity controller

Art Unit: 3744

coupled to the inside humidity sensor and that the humidity controller has a selectively actuable humidity level selection control for providing a control signal for selecting a target in room humidity. Shah (US 6557771) teaches a humidity control system with a humidity sensor coupled to the humidity controller 25 and that the controller controls the signal provided based on a target in-room humidity. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Darey et al. with Shah in order to control humidity as a function of both the outside and inside temperature and thus enable a user to adjust humidity levels to a desired comfort level.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Darey et al. (US 4258051) in view of (US Shah 6557771) in further view of Gauthier et al. (US 5911747).

In regard to claim 2, Darey et al. (US 4248051) in view of Shah (US 6557771) teach all limitations of claim 1, however fail to teach the outside temperature sensor circuit includes a thermistor circuit. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Darey et al. with a thermistor circuit as Gauthier teaches it was well known in the art at the time the invention was made thermistors are extensively used to measure outside temperature conditions (column 4 lines 24-27).

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Darey et al. (US 4258051) in view of Shah (US 6557771) in further view of Grald et a. (US 4889280).

With regard to claim 4, Darey et al. in view of Shah teach all limitations of claim 3 however fail to teach a control switch for switching the operating state of the humidity control system. Grald et al. teach a temperature and humidity control system with a switch 22 connected to a comparator 24 and switch control 200 (column 2 lines 35-46). They further teach the switch control measures humidity levels (column 2 lines 60-68, column 3 lines 1-8, and column 4 lines 5-35). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Darey et al. with Grald et al. to enable the humidity control system to compare the present humidity levels using the humidity control sensor and a predetermined humidity reading (column 1 lines 35-55) in view of the teachings of Grald et al.

With regard to claim 12, Darey et al. in view of Shah teach a humidity control system capable of performing the method as claimed. See the rejection of claim 1 as claims cite similar subject matter.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-11 and 13-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion '

Application/Control Number: 10/796,516

Page 5

Art Unit: 3744

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gene L. Bankhead whose telephone number is (571)-272-8963. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571)-272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CHERYL TYLER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Gene Bankhead Examiner Art Unit 3744

4/12/07